

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/692,042	. 10/22/2003	Koji Naraoka	YK1A121870	6433		
26389 7590 05/17/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			PHAM, VAN T			
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA	A 98101-2347		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
ŕ			2627	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/17/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/692,042	NARAOKA, KOJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VAN T. PHAM	2627		

	7.11. 01.11.					
VAN T. PHAM	2627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since						
within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).					
but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	50000				
tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>11-13</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	FYOUNG	\ /				
SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINE	1/				
	Pars on the cover sheet with the control of the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, after the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, after the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the control of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 606.07(f). On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing day. Diance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be an insideration and/or search (see NO within the time period set forth in 3 but prior to the date of filing a brief, ansideration and/or search (see NO within the time period set forth in 3 but prior to the date of finally rejections under appeal by materially rejections under appeal will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b) and the sufficient reasons why the affidavent and was not earlier presented. So an of the status of the claims after entered the status of the status o	Paras on the cover sheet with the correspondence add are ILICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. In the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abaying replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evider witice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CPS with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FOO.07(f). On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropria tension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriatension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriatension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriatension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Distance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months in the final officent than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, on the final officent than three files of the final officent than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, on the files of the final officent than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, on the files of the final officent than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, on the files of the final rejection, on the files of the final officent than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, on the files of the final officent files of the final officent files of the files o				

Continuation of 11. NOTE:

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., Because the piezoelectric elements of Claim 1 extend from the side surfaces of the suspension holder instead of the bottom surface, the claimed configuration enables adjustment of the optical axis of the objective lens 10 without increasing the thickness of the objective lens drive. In contrast, the optical pickup disclosed in Masaki has an increased thickness due to the location of the piezoelectric elements) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant's asserted "Claim 14 recites that the piezoelectric elements laterally support first and second side surfaces of the suspension holder, wherein the first and second side surfaces are opposite each other. This feature is neither taught nor suggested by Masaki, which instead teaches attaching the piezoelectric elements to a bottom surface of the suspension holder, which is found in fig. 1 and [0029]-[0030] that the piezoelectric elements laterally support first and second side surfaces of the suspension holder, wherein the first and second side surfaces are opposite each other (top and bottom, see Fig. 1, elements 9a, 9b).

Claims 5-9:

Applicant's asserted "Nowhere in Sugawara does it teach or suggest that "at least a first of said multilayer piezoelectric elements laterally supports a first side surface of said suspension holder and at least a second of said multilayer piezoelectric elements laterally supports a second side surface of said suspension holder opposite to said first side surface," as recited in Claim 1. As previously discussed with regard to Claim 1, Masaki also does not teach or suggest this limitation.", see the response above of claim 14.

Claims 11-13 are allowed.

WAYNE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN